

1 **DLA PIPER LLP (US)**  
2 GINA DURHAM (SBN 295910)  
3 *gina.durham@us.dlapiper.com*  
4 555 Mission Street  
5 Suite 2400  
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2933  
7 Tel: 415.836.2500  
8 Fax: 415.836.2501

9 **JASON TAYLOR LUEDDEKE (SBN 279242)**  
10 *jason.lueddeke@us.dlapiper.com*  
11 **KRISTINA FERNANDEZ MABRIE (SBN 318315)**  
12 *kristina.fernandezmabrie@us.dlapiper.com*  
13 2000 Avenue of the Stars  
14 Suite 400 North Tower  
15 Los Angeles, California 90067-4735  
16 Tel: 310.595.3066  
17 Fax: 310.595.3326

18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
19 **TRACY ANDERSON MIND AND BODY, LLC**  
20 and T.A. STUDIO NEW YORK LLC

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
22  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

23 TRACY ANDERSON MIND AND BODY,  
24 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;  
25 and T.A. STUDIO NEW YORK LLC, a  
26 California limited liability company,

27 Case No. 2:22-cv-04735-PSG

28 Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez

1 **ORDER GRANTING  
2 PLAINTIFFS' RULE 6(b)(1)(B)  
3 MOTION TO EXTEND TIME  
4 (FILED AFTER EXPIRATION OF  
5 TIME PERIOD) DKT [80]**

6 Action Filed: July 11, 2022  
7 FAC Filed: Sept. 13, 2022  
8 Trial Date: Nov. 14, 2024

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 MEGAN ROUP, an individual; and THE  
12 SCULPT SOCIETY, LLC, a California  
13 limited liability company,

14 Defendants.

1 The Court has received and considered Plaintiffs Tracy Anderson Mind and Body,  
2 LLC (“TAMB”) and T.A. Studio New York LLC’s (“TANY,” collectively  
3 “Plaintiffs”) Motion for Extension of Time pursuant to FRCP 6(b)(1)(B) (“Motion”)  
4 and the memoranda and affidavit submitted in support thereto.

5

6 The Court finds as follows:

- 7 1. Though, Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants Megan Roup and the Sculpt  
8 Society, LLC’s Motion for Full or Partial Summary Judgement  
9 (“Opposition”) was filed prior to the March 17, 2024, midnight deadline, the  
10 ancillary papers to its Opposition were filed after the midnight deadline due to  
11 unpreventable technical issues experienced by the filer causing a loss in work  
12 product.
- 13 2. In its Motion, Plaintiffs’ set forth sufficient facts establishing that its failure to  
14 file the ancillary papers by the May 17, midnight deadline was the result of  
15 excusable neglect—namely, unforeseeable technical troubles due to certain  
16 documents file size.

17

18 Accordingly, the Court finds good cause for granting the Motion and allowing  
19 Plaintiffs an additional 3 hours and 5 minutes.

20

21 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED granting Plaintiffs Motion for Extension of Time.

22


23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24

25 Dated: May 20, 2024

26

27 By:

  
28 HON. PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE